UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/581,563	06/02/2006	Michael Gavin Proctor	71,049-012	6206	
	7590 02/05/200 IOWARD ATTORNE	EXAMINER			
450 West Fourt		MOORE, MARGARET G			
Royal Oak, MI	40007		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1796		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			02/05/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/581,563	PROCTOR ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Margaret G. Moore	1796		

	Margaret G. Moore	1790
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED <u>26 January 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:</li> </ol>	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, which places the with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 cension and the corresponding amount of the chortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropriate extension fee nally set in the final Office action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b	out prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered because
(a) They raise new issues that would require further cor		
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	•	20.01.7,
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	•	ducing or simplifying the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		
4. 🔲 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	The rejection in paragraph 1 of the	e previous office action.
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		l be entered and an explanation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1 to 9, 11 to 20</u> .		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
<ul> <li>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</li> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ul>		
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails to provide a
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attached.
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.</li> </ol>	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowance because:
<ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	
	/Margaret G. Moore/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 1796

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Examiner maintains that the combination of references renders the claims obvious. The Examiner strongly disagrees with applicants' position that '458 requires reinforcing fillers. The various summaries of the invention in '458 do not include a reinforcing filler. While column 6 teaches the presence of such fillers, they clearly are not required. Note that column 6 uses the language "if" as in "IF the composition comprises reinforcing fillers". The fact that '141 includes reinforcing fillers to obtain specific properties does not take away from any expectation of using the clay disclosed therein to obtain the known benefits and properties associated therewith, as per the teachings in '141. Applicants' summary that the combination of references does not teach or suggest a composition free of reinforcing silica simply is not persuasive.